

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bos. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,668	09/11/2003	Pascal Lardy	15675P476	9137
8791 7590 02/22/2007 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			EXAMINER	
			PILKINGTON, JAMES	
SEVENTH FLOC LOS ANGELES,		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		PAPER NUMBER
,			3682	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAII		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- W.		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/661,668	LARDY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		James Pilkington	3682			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHO WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/14	1/06 (12/11/06).	•			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• —	· 					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims		•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,9,11 and 13-20 is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 21 and 22 is/are rejecte Claim(s) 6-8 and 12 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	re withdrawn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objector drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔯 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date / 9/04	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of species II claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10, 12, 21 and 22 in the reply filed on 11/14/06 is acknowledged.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "a force transmitting element," "moving member" (clm 1), "specific coupling" (clm 2), a screw having rollers or wheels (clm 5), and transport craft/aircraft (clms 21 and 22) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

Application/Control Number: 10/661,668 Page 3

Art Unit: 3682

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:
 - "Mechanical extension" (clm 1, clm 2) and "portions that overlap" (clm 4)
 should be - shoulder - as stated in the specification page 3 line 25
 - "an electrical link" (clm 1) should be - conductive wire -
 - "resilient return member" (clm 6) should be - spring -

Claim Objections

- 4. In view of the above mentioned lack of antecedent basis for the term "portions that overlap" claim 4 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

 Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. It appears to the examiner that claim 4 does not further limit the claim from which it depends and appropriate correction is required.
- 5. In view of the above mentioned lack of antecedent basis for the term "resilient return member" (clm 6), claim 12 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 6. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight

Application/Control Number: 10/661,668 Page 4

Art Unit: 3682

difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 10, 12, 21 and 22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re clm 1, the phrase "essentially comprising" renders the claim indefinite, as it is not clear if all of the following limitations are required or optional. The examiner suggests changing "essentially comprising" to - - comprising - -

Re clm 1, "a force-transmitting element placed in the path of the force between the screw and the moving member via one of the nuts" is not clear to the examiner. It is not clear if "a force-transmitting element" is referring to the fastening stubs or the balls in the nut. Is "force-transmitting element" between the shaft and the nut ("via the nut") or is the "force-transmitting element" the combination of the nut and balls and fastening stubs?

Regarding claim 5, the phrase "for example (i.e.)" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/661,668

Art Unit: 3682

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 5

9. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 21 and 22, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davies, USP 6,928,895 (originally published as US PG Pub 2003/0029258 on 2/13/03), in view of Willis, USP 5,017,912.

Davies discloses an actuator for actuating a moving member (stabilizer of a transport craft/aircraft) comprising:

- a screw (8)
- two nuts (20 and 24)
- a pin (28)
- force transmitting elements (balls 26 and/or helical treading between shaft and nuts)
- mechanical extensions/respective portions that overlap (shoulder extending from 20 over 24 and shoulder extending off the end of 24, where pin extends see Figure 5)
- the actuator is of a ball type actuator (see Figure 1)
- the pin extends in a direction that is radial relative to the main axis of the screw (see Figure 5)

Davies does not disclose an electrical link passing through the pin.

Willis teaches a shear pin (16) that has an electrical link (12) passing through the pin (16) for the purpose of providing electrical detection and reporting of a sheared element (C1/L10-15).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Davies and provide a shear pin that has an electrical link passing through the pin, as taught by Willis, for the purpose of providing electrical detection and reporting of a sheared element.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 6 and 12 and those claims that depend from 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including corrections for the objections above and having all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Pilkington whose telephone number is (571) 272-5052. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,668

Art Unit: 3682

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

J) T

JP

2/15/07

RICHARD RIDLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7